# **Deborah.Easterling**

From: Jocelyn.Boyd

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:42 AM

To: Deborah.Easterling

Cc: davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com;

timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette;

Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org;

davido@sunstoresolar.com

Subject: FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club [mailto:information@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Dianne Mccauley

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:07 AM

To: Jocelyn.Boyd

Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Joceyln Boyd 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

It's embarrassing that SC lags behind the rest of the country on clean energy discussion simply because we choose to do so. We live where solar power is in abundance, and yet we still decide nuclear and old fashioned electric and gas are viable? Seriously it's time we crawled out from under the rock and acted like mature adults, get with it folks, solar is the way to go!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

## Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dianne Mccauley

<maevenne@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:07 AM

**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Howard

**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

John Howard 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

It's embarrassing that SC lags behind the rest of the country on clean energy discussion simply because we choose to do so. We live where solar power is in abundance, and yet we still decide nuclear and old fashioned electric and gas are viable? Seriously it's time we crawled out from under the rock and acted like mature adults, get with it folks, solar is the way to go!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

## Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dianne Mccauley

<maevenne@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:07 AM

**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Randall

**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Comer Randall 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

It's embarrassing that SC lags behind the rest of the country on clean energy discussion simply because we choose to do so. We live where solar power is in abundance, and yet we still decide nuclear and old fashioned electric and gas are viable? Seriously it's time we crawled out from under the rock and acted like mature adults, get with it folks, solar is the way to go!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

### Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dianne Mccauley

<maevenne@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:07 AM

**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hall

**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Nikiya Hall 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

It's embarrassing that SC lags behind the rest of the country on clean energy discussion simply because we choose to do so. We live where solar power is in abundance, and yet we still decide nuclear and old fashioned electric and gas are viable? Seriously it's time we crawled out from under the rock and acted like mature adults, get with it folks, solar is the way to go!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own

motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

### **Nina.Gates**

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dianne Mccauley

<maevenne@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:07 AM

**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Fleming

**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

It's embarrassing that SC lags behind the rest of the country on clean energy discussion simply because we choose to do so. We live where solar power is in abundance, and yet we still decide nuclear and old fashioned electric and gas are viable? Seriously it's time we crawled out from under the rock and acted like mature adults, get with it folks, solar is the way to go!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

#### Nina.Gates

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dianne Mccauley

<maevenne@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:07 AM

To:

PSC Commissioner.Hamilton

**Subject:** 

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

It's embarrassing that SC lags behind the rest of the country on clean energy discussion simply because we choose to do so. We live where solar power is in abundance, and yet we still decide nuclear and old fashioned electric and gas are viable? Seriously it's time we crawled out from under the rock and acted like mature adults, get with it folks, solar is the way to go!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

#### Nina.Gates

From:

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dianne Mccauley

<maevenne@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:07 AM

To:

PSC\_Commissioner.Whitfield

Subject:

Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Swain Whitfield 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

It's embarrassing that SC lags behind the rest of the country on clean energy discussion simply because we choose to do so. We live where solar power is in abundance, and yet we still decide nuclear and old fashioned electric and gas are viable? Seriously it's time we crawled out from under the rock and acted like mature adults, get with it folks, solar is the way to go!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,